## 137

## THE CHEMILUMINESCENT REACTION OF OZONE WITH KETENE

I. BARNES<sup>†</sup> and W. D. McGRATH

Department of Pure and Applied Chemistry, David Keir Building, The Queen's University of Belfast, Belfast BT9 5AG (U.K.)

(Received October 29, 1985; in revised form November 29, 1985)

## Summary

The chemiluminescent emission resulting from the gas phase reaction between ketene and  $O_3$  has been studied over the spectral region 280 - 520 nm. The most distinguishing features of the emission are identified as being due to electronically excited hydroxyl radicals (OH\*), vibrationally excited hydroxyl radicals (OH<sup>‡</sup>) and electronically excited formaldehyde (HCHO\*). The intensity of emission of all three species exhibited first-order dependence on the  $O_3$  concentration and the peak intensities were compared with those obtained in the  $O_3$ -C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> system, studied under the same experimental conditions. The OH\* and OH<sup>‡</sup> intensities were essentially the same in both systems whereas that of HCHO\* was much greater in the  $O_3$ -CH<sub>2</sub>CO system than for  $O_3$ -C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>. The results are interpreted in terms of a mechanism involving formation, reaction and dissociation of the diradical CH<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. This interpretation is shown to explain satisfactorily the results obtained from other systems involving reaction of  $O_3$  with olefins and substituted ketenes.

In photochemical smog,  $O_3^{-}$  olefin reactions constitute about 50% of the observed olefin degradation and this therefore makes them among the most important of the reactions in oxidative polluted atmospheres [1]. The products from these reactions are varied [2 - 5] and the vast majority show chemiluminescence of some description in the gas phase, the main excited species being electronically excited  $OH(^{2}\Sigma^{+})$ ,  $HCHO(^{1}A'')$ ,  $CH(^{2}\Delta)$  and glyoxal ( $^{3}A_{u}$ ) and vibrationally excited  $OH^{\neq}(X \ ^{2}\Pi_{v \leq 9})$  [5 - 7].

The mechanism of gas phase ozonolysis is still not clearly defined. The Criegee mechanism involving a carbonyl and peroxy fragment [8] is normally used in conjunction with the O'Neal-Blumstein hydrogen abstraction modification [9] to account for the observed products and emissions. Even this combination of theories is not wholly satisfactory in explaining the diverse number of products, particularly the excited species, and the relative

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Present address: Fachbereich 9, Physikalische Chemie, Bergische Universität Wuppertal, 56 Wuppertal 1, F.R.G.

importance of each reaction mechanism has yet to be delineated. Methylene peroxide  $(CH_2O_2)$  is an important proposed intermediate in the Criegee mechanism for the ozonolysis of terminal olefins but which has yet to be experimentally synthesized or spectroscopically detected. Wadt and Goddard [10] in an extensive general valence bond-configuration interaction study on the geometry and molecular states of  $CH_2O_2$  suggest that the further reactions of this species with  $O_3$  etc. can satisfactorily explain all the observed excited species with the possible exception of  $OH(^{2}\Sigma^{+})$ . Chemiluminescence has been observed in the  $O_3$ -CH<sub>2</sub>CO (ketene) reaction exactly analogous to that observed in the O<sub>3</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub> reaction. Since CH<sub>2</sub>CO has only one terminal CH<sub>2</sub> group, hydrogen abstraction reactions are severely limited and the observed emitting species can only be satisfactorily explained by the further reactions of  $CH_2O_2$  and would seem to indicate support for the Wadt and Goddard proposal [10]. It may also possibly explain the formation of these emitting species in non-terminal olefins such as *cis*-2-butene since in these ozonolysis systems ketene is often a major product [4].

A simple flow tube consisting of a 15 cm length of Pyrex glass (25 mm outside diameter (OD) and 23 mm inside diameter (ID)) tapered at the pumping end and fitted with a 6 mm vacuum tap for coarse flow control was used for viewing the chemiluminescence. Two capillary inlets (6 mm OD and 4 mm ID) placed at right angles to each other and centred 2 cm from a quartz end-window delivered the respective reactant gases and ensured good mixing conditions. The light emitted from the flow tube was passed through a Bausch and Lomb single grating monochromator (33-86-02) set at a specific wavelength and detected with either a Hamamatsu R456 (UV-visible) or an R406 (S-1 spectral response) phototube, depending on the wavelength region under investigation.

The signal was then subsequently amplified by a factor of 100 using a Marconi preamplifier (TM6591A) powered by a 22 V battery supply and then passed to a signal averager, in this case a Princeton Applied Research (PAR) Boxcar integrator model 160 operated on an external trigger mode. A Grubb Parsons variable frequency chopping unit was used to drive a sixbladed chopper, operated at 850 Hz and positioned between the monochromator and the phototube, which supplied the triggering voltage. A reading at any wavelength setting took on average 10 min and these were taken at 5 nm intervals between 200 and 750 nm.

The typical total pressure in the flow tube for these experiments, measured by a Wallace and Tiernan absolute pressure gauge (0 - 20 Torr), was about 5 - 10 Torr; the partial pressures of  $O_3$  and ketene were about 0.5 Torr and about 0.2 Torr respectively, the remainder being made up with either helium or nitrogen. Reactant mixtures were made up in 5 l bulbs and delivered to the flow tube via Edwards LB2B needle valves. The gas flow rates were measured with Monostat (36-541-07) flowmeters and varied between 10 and 80 cm<sup>3</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>.  $O_3$  was prepared by a silent discharge of 10 kV through oxygen using a Siemens ozonizer and was adsorbed onto pretreated silica gel maintained at -80 °C from which it was desorbed and purified as required. Ketene was prepared by the pyrolysis of acetone vapour on a redhot tungsten wire. Free acetone, water and other condensable products were removed by a double-surface water-cooled condenser. The gas was then passed through two cold traps at -35 °C and finally condensed in a trap at liquid air temperatures. It was further purified by pumping under vacuum at -196 °C followed by vacuum distillation.

Since chemiluminescent experiments of the type described here can be strongly affected by slight traces of impurity, great care was taken to ensure that the ketene was as free from impurities as possible. The best conditions for ketene production were obtained using a flow rate of acetone vapour of  $1 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$  and a tungsten wire temperature of 700 °C. This resulted in a 40% 45% yield of ketene. The only other major products of the pyrolysis at this temperature are CH<sub>4</sub>, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, CO and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> with trace quantities of ethyl methyl ketone. Vacuum distillation of the ketene was repeated until no traces of these impurities could be detected by IR and UV spectroscopy. It was of vital importance to remove C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, CO and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> traces, since these react with O<sub>3</sub> to give chemiluminescent emission, and the analytical procedure used ensured that impurities were not present above the detectable limit of 0.02 vol.%.

The chemiluminescent spectrum obtained for the reaction of  $O_3$  with ketene is shown in Fig. 1, the partial pressures of the respective gases  $O_3$ , CH<sub>2</sub>CO and helium being 0.73 Torr, 0.18 Torr and 6.09 Torr. Similar chemiluminescence of an equal intensity was obtained with nitrogen as the added gas. The prominent features of the spectra are the OH( $^{2}\Sigma^{+}$ ) emission at 310 nm and the banded HCHO( $^{1}A''$ ) emission stretching from about 335 to 520 nm. A weak series of bands also extends from 595 to 695 nm (not illustrated) and it has been established with the use of a series of narrow bandpass filters that this emission extends as far as 900 nm. This emission is attributed to OH(X  $^{2}\Pi_{v \leq 9}$ ) (Meinel band emission [11]) as observed by various other workers in O<sub>3</sub>-olefin reactions [4 - 7]. For comparison, the chemilumi-



Fig. 1. Chemiluminescent emission observed from reaction between CH<sub>2</sub>CO and O<sub>3</sub>. The relative intensities are normalized to 100 assigned to the peak of the OH( $^{2}\Sigma^{+}$ ) emission (partial pressure of O<sub>3</sub>, 0.73 Torr; partial pressure of CH<sub>2</sub>CO, 0.18 Torr; partial pressure of helium, 6.09 Torr; total cell pressure, 7.00 Torr).

nescence of the  $O_3-C_2H_4$  reaction [5] was observed and was found to be similar in all respects to the  $O_3-CH_2CO$  chemiluminescence with the exception of a very weak emission from HCHO(<sup>1</sup>A").

A comparison was made of the peak intensities for OH\* and OH<sup> $\neq$ </sup> emissions for the O<sub>3</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>CO system with those for O<sub>3</sub>-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>, under the same conditions of total pressure, flow rates and monitoring sensitivity. In the O<sub>3</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>CO system the OH\* signal was 95% of that in the O<sub>3</sub>-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> system, whereas that of OH<sup> $\neq$ </sup> was the same in both. The HCHO\* emission, however, was markedly different in the two systems. For O<sub>3</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>CO its intensity was very much greater than that in the O<sub>3</sub>-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> system, being the same as that of OH\*, within the limits of experimental uncertainty. Under the experimental conditions used in these experiments the intensity of emission of all three species, OH\*, OH<sup> $\neq$ </sup> and HCHO\*, showed first-order dependence on the O<sub>3</sub> concentration.

The results show that all the emitting species associated with terminal olefin-O<sub>3</sub> reactions where both  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -hydrogen abstraction is possible can be obtained in the O<sub>3</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>CO reaction where only  $\alpha$ -hydrogen abstraction is possible.

If it is assumed that the initial step in ozonolysis is the formation of a primary ozonide followed by rupture of an O-O  $\sigma$  bond then, if the Criegee mechanism is followed, the reaction for C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> will be

In the corresponding  $CH_2CO$  reaction two competing pathways are open:



The excitation energy for HCHO( ${}^{1}A''$ ) is 337.2 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> which means therefore that one of the Criegee splits (reaction (3)) in the O<sub>3</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>CO system is capable of directly producing this species but from analogy with other reactions of this type the possibility seems to be remote. Also there is no experimental or theoretical evidence to suggest that the exothermicity of reaction should be disproportionately distributed amongst the reaction products. The O'Neal-Blumstein modification of the Criegee mechanism involving  $\alpha$ -hydrogen abstraction has been used to explain HCHO( ${}^{1}A''$ ) formation in C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> ozonolysis [5], e.g.

$$CH_2 - CH_2 \xrightarrow{\alpha-hydrogen \\ abstraction} (HCCH_2OOH)^* \longrightarrow HCOOH + HCHO^* (4)$$

$$(-682 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1})$$

If the hydrogen abstraction is applied to the  $CH_2CO$  ozonolysis then two possible pathways exist:

$$H_{H} \xrightarrow{C} -C \xrightarrow{O} \xrightarrow{Criegee split} HCO + COOH (-100.4 kJ mol^{-1})$$

$$(5)$$

$$(5)$$

$$COOH \xrightarrow{CO_2 + OH (-69 kJ mol^{-1})} (5)$$

$$CO + HO_2 (-8 kJ mol^{-1})$$

$$(5)$$

$$CO + HO_2 (-8 kJ mol^{-1}) (5)$$

$$H \xrightarrow{C} -C \xrightarrow{O} \xrightarrow{Criegee split} HCO_2 + COOH (-431 kJ mol^{-1}) (-431 kJ mol^{-1}) (6)$$

$$HCO_2 \longrightarrow H + CO_2 (-12 kJ mol^{-1})$$

These two reaction pathways (5) and (6) can supply H, OH and HCO radicals, whose reactions with O, O<sub>3</sub> etc. are generally proposed to account for the formation of OH( $^{2}\Sigma^{+}$ ) and OH(X  $^{2}\Pi_{v \leq 9}$ ) in these systems [10], but no HCHO( $^{1}A''$ ). The formation of this species is more easily accounted for in the  $O_3$ -CH<sub>2</sub>CO ozonolysis by the reaction of CH<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, formed via the Criegee split; with  $O_3$ , two reactions are possible:

$$CH_2O_2 + O_3 \longrightarrow HCHO + 2O_2 (-468.6 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1})$$

$$(7)$$

 $CH_2O_2 + O_3 \longrightarrow HCHO + 2O(^{3}P) + O_2 (-33.5 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1})$ (8)

Reaction (7) is certainly capable of producing  $HCHO({}^{1}A'')$  and since the  $CH_2O_2$  produced in the  $O_3-CH_2CO$  reaction is much more likely to be either electronically or vibrationally excited than that formed in the  $O_3-C_2H_4$  reaction this may well explain the disparity in emission intensity of the species formed in the two systems. The further reactions of  $CH_2O_2$ , rearrangement, unimolecular decomposition etc., can adequately account for all the other excited species [10], hydrogen abstraction being totally unnecessary at least for olefins containing a terminal  $-CH_2$  group. Since  $CH_2CO$  is often a major product in the ozonolysis of more complex olefins not possessing terminal  $-CH_2$  groups [4] it is possible that reaction (7) is still the dominant production path for  $HCHO({}^{1}A'')$  and subsequently the other excited species rather than the more complex hydrogen abstraction proposals [7, 9, 10] made for these reactions.

The recent work of Hatakeyama et al. [12] on the reactions of  $O_3$  with ketene, methylketene, ethylketene and dimethylketene is of direct relevance to the previous discussion. They measured the reaction rates of these reactions but were only able to place an upper limit on the rate constant for the ketene-O<sub>3</sub> reaction of less than  $1 \times 10^{-21}$  cm<sup>3</sup> molecule<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. The rate constants for the other ketenes were more than a factor of 100 greater than this. These workers found that first-order decay behaviour for  $O_3$  was not observed for conditions in which the concentration of  $O_3$  was low and ketenes were present in excess, indicating the contribution of secondary reactions to the kinetics scheme which could not be inhibited, even when they added excess CH<sub>3</sub>CHO to the systems, to act as a radical scavenger. In our experiments we found first-order dependence for the chemiluminescent emission for all emitting species under the experimental conditions described previously. In addition, for methylketene, ethylketene and dimethylketenes, when they used  ${}^{18}O_3$  as reactant, the product  $CO_2$  consisted mostly of  $C^{16}O^{18}O$ . They interpreted their results in terms of production of the biradical

$$RR'C=C=O+O_3 \longrightarrow RR'C \xrightarrow{O O O} O$$

$$RR'C=O + O_3 \longrightarrow RR'C \xrightarrow{I - U} C=O$$

$$RR'COO + CO_2$$
(9)

followed by its decomposition, according to

$$RR'COO \longrightarrow R + R' + CO_2$$

$$RR'COO \longrightarrow RR' + CO_2$$
(10)

They concluded that the biradical decomposes very quickly since even addition of a large excess of acetaldehyde failed to trap it. Our results are in agreement with these findings, apart from the fact that ours would indicate either a somewhat greater stability of  $CH_2O_2$  in comparison with  $RR'CO_2$  or a faster reaction rate of  $CH_2O_2$  with ketene than that of  $RR'CO_2$  with the higher ketenes.

In conclusion, it can be said that, although the O'Neal-Blumstein modified Criegee mechanism has been used to rationalize the formation of chemiluminescent species in gas phase  $O_3$ -olefin reactions, it is found that this theory is not applicable to the observation of all the emitting species formed in the  $O_3$ -CH<sub>2</sub>CO reaction. These species are identical with those observed in the  $O_3$ -CH<sub>2</sub>CO reaction and are better accounted for by the proposed role of CH<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> as an intermediate. This inference supports the proposal of Wadt and Goddard [10] that electronically excited HCHO(<sup>1</sup>A") is produced by the reaction of CH<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> with O<sub>3</sub> (reaction (7)).

## References

- 1 P. A. Leighton, Photochemistry of Air Pollution, Academic Press, New York, 1961.
- 2 J. J. Bufolini and A. P. Altshuller, Can. J. Chem., 43 (1965) 2243.
- 3 D. G. W. Williamson and R. J. Cvetanovic, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 90 (1968) 3688.
- 4 R. Atkinson, B. J. Finlayson and J. N. Pitts, Jr., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 95 (1973) 7592.
- 5 B. J. Finlayson, J. N. Pitts, Jr., and R. Atkinson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 96 (1974) 5356.
- 6 D. A. Hansen and J. N. Pitts, Jr., Chem. Phys. Lett., 35 (1975) 569.
- 7 D. A. Hansen, R. Atkinson and J. N. Pitts, Jr., J. Photochem., 7 (1977) 379.
- 8 R. Criegee, in J. O. Edwards (ed.), Peroxide Reaction Mechanisms, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1962.
- 9 H. E. O'Neal and C. Blumstein, Int. J. Chem. Kinet., 5 (1973) 397.
- 10 W. R. Wadt and W. A. Goddard II, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 97 (1975) 3004.
- 11 A. B. Meinel, J. Astrophys., 111 (1950) 555.
- 12 S. Hatakeyama, S. Honda and H. Akimoto, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 58 (1985) 2411.